

1.4.9 Problem: Verify: the first order necessary conditions of the Lagrange multiplier rule are

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) + b(v, p) &= f(v) & \forall v \in V, \\ b(u, q) &= 0 & \forall q \in Q. \end{aligned}$$

To this end, recall the type of objects that derivatives of linear functionals are and compute the derivatives of \mathcal{L} .

2.1.3 Problem: On the space $\ell_2(\mathbb{R})$ define the operator A by its eigenvalue decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} A : \ell_2(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow \ell_2(\mathbb{R}) \\ e_k &\mapsto \frac{1}{k} e_k. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\{e_k\}$ is the orthogonal basis of unit vectors of the form

$$e_k = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ k}}{1}, 0, \dots)^T.$$

1. Show that this operator does not have a bounded inverse, albeit its eigenvalues are positive.
2. Show that the range of A is not closed in $\ell_2(\mathbb{R})$

2.1.4 Problem: Find an invertible, symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ and a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $v^T A v = 0$ and thus the Lax-Milgram lemma is inconclusive.

2.1.17 Problem: The following statements are equivalent to the inf-sup condition (2.10):

$$\forall u \in V \exists w \in W : a(u, w) \geq \gamma \|u\|_V \|w\|_W \quad (3)$$

$$\forall u \in V \exists w \in W : \begin{cases} \|w\|_W \leq \|u\|_V \\ a(u, w) \geq \gamma \|u\|_V^2 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$\forall u \in V \exists w \in W : \begin{cases} \gamma \|w\|_W \leq \|u\|_V \\ Aw = u \end{cases} \quad (5)$$